

Vector Spaces

(Sections 5.1/6.1 and 6.2)

Explanation: the applications later in
the course (eigenvalues,
projections / best-fit lines) won't
make sense unless we develop
appropriate terminology -
This is the terminology!

The idea: you're used to vectors being either rows or columns of real numbers. For vector spaces in general, our focus will be on properties: you can add any two column (or row) vectors and scalar multiply them. For us, a vector space will be **anything** where we can define "addition" and "scalar multiplication".

Example 1: ($\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$) Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

denote all functions f of a real number x that output a real number $y = f(x)$.

We write $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We want to "add" and "scalar multiply" functions.

To do this, we'll specify what has to happen for every x in \mathbb{R} since functions are determined by their values on inputs.

Let x be a real number and let f and g be functions in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

If c is a real number, then

we define the functions $f+g$ and

$c \cdot f$ by

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

real number real number
↓ ↓

$$\underline{(c \cdot f)(x)} = c \cdot f(x)$$

↑ ↑
real number real number

This is an example of an abstract vector space : vectors are now functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$!

Vector Spaces in General

A vector space V is a collection of objects such that you can define two operations

- addition of vectors “+”
- scalar multiplication of vectors “.”

where if x, y are objects in V , then

$x+y$ is also an object in V and

$c \cdot x$ is also an object in V for

all scalars c

AND

for all objects x, y, z in V , which
we will call **vectors**, and all
scalars c and d ,

$$1) (x+y)+z = x+(y+z)$$

associativity of addition

$$2) c \cdot (d \cdot x) = (c \cdot d) \cdot x$$

associativity of scalar multiplication

$$3) x+y = y+x$$

commutativity of addition

$$4) (c+d) \cdot x = c \cdot x + d \cdot x$$

$$c \cdot (x+y) = c \cdot x + c \cdot y$$

distributivity of scalar multiplication
over addition

$$5) 1 \cdot x = x$$

6) There is a vector 0_v in V
such that

$$0_v + x = x$$

existence of additive identity

7) for each x , there is a vector
 $-x$ in V such that

$$x + (-x) = 0_V$$

existence of additive inverses

We never want to check all
these properties!

We'd like to assemble a catalog
of vector spaces where we know
what "+" , "-" , and 0_V are .

Example 2: $(\mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}))$

These are all vector spaces!

If $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$, $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$

are column vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then
if c is a scalar, we know that

$$x+y = \begin{bmatrix} x_1+y_1 \\ x_2+y_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n+y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c \cdot x = \begin{bmatrix} c \cdot x_1 \\ c \cdot x_2 \\ \vdots \\ c \cdot x_n \end{bmatrix}, \text{ zero vector } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If $A = (A_{i,k})$, $B = (B_{i,k})$ are $m \times n$ matrices and c is a scalar,

$$(A+B)_{i,k} = A_{i,k} + B_{i,k}$$

$$(c \cdot A)_{i,k} = c \cdot A_{i,k}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

The zero vector is the zero matrix $A_{i,k} = 0$ for

all $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

Example 3 : (Sequences) Let \mathcal{S} denote

the collection of all sequences
of real numbers. If

$(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are such

sequences and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we can

define addition and scalar
multiplication of sequences by

$$(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} + (b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (a_n + b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$c \cdot (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (c \cdot a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

The zero vector is the zero sequence $a_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$:

$$(0, 0, 0, \dots) = 0_v .$$

With these operations, δ becomes a vector space!

Note: (zero function for $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$)

The zero vector for $V = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

is the function $f(x) = 0$ for

all real numbers x (graph

is a horizontal line $y=0$).

Summary

Vectors in a vector are whatever I can define addition and scalar multiplication for:-

If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, vectors are row or column arrays of real numbers

If $V = M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, vectors are $m \times n$ arrays of real numbers (matrices)

If $V = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, vectors are functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}

If $V = S$, vectors are sequences of real numbers

Problem: Checking all those properties!

Solution: Cheat, by looking inside
things we already know to
be vector spaces!

Some mathematical notation:

" \in " = "is a member of"

" \subseteq " = "contained in and possibly equal"

" \forall " = "for every"

" \exists " = "there is"

" \Rightarrow " = "implies"

Subspaces

Take a vector space V and let

$W \subseteq V$. We say that W is a

Subspace of V if W is also

a vector space under the operations

of addition and scalar multiplication

from V .

Note: we need W to actually have
vectors in it.

The Subspace Test

If V is a vector space, $\omega \subseteq V$ is a subspace precisely when

$$1) 0_V \in \omega$$

$$2) \forall x, y \in \omega, \text{ then } x + y \in \omega$$

$$3) \forall c \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \omega, \text{ then } c \cdot x \in \omega$$

Note: " $+$ " and " \cdot " are the addition and scalar multiplication in V .

Example 4: (a plane in \mathbb{R}^3) If $V = \mathbb{R}^3$,

let $W \subseteq V$, W will be

all vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$7x - 11y + 210z = 0.$$

defining property of W

Show that W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3

Solution: Use the subspace test.

1) $0_V \in W$. What is 0_V ?

In this case, $0_V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

$0_v \in W$ means that 0_v

satisfies the defining property of W :

$$7x - 11y + 210z = 0.$$

Plug in $x = y = z = 0$ and check

that this gives you zero in the

above equation:

$$7 \cdot 0 - 11 \cdot 0 + 210 \cdot 0$$

$$= 0 - 0 + 0 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

So $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W$.

2) If $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \omega$, then

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in \omega.$$

This means: if \vec{x} and \vec{y} satisfy the defining property of ω , then

so does $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$.

If $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$,

then \vec{x} and \vec{y} satisfying the defining property of ω means

$$7x_1 - 11y_1 + 210z_1 = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$7x_2 - 11y_2 + 210z_2 = 0$$

$$\text{Then } \vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \\ z_1 + z_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Check that this satisfies
the defining property of \mathcal{W} .

$$7(x_1 + x_2) - 11(y_1 + y_2) + 210(z_1 + z_2)$$

$$= 7x_1 + 7x_2 - 11y_1 - 11y_2 + 210z_1 + 210z_2$$

$$= (7x_1 - 11y_1 + 210z_1) + (7x_2 - 11y_2 + 210z_2)$$

$$= 0 + 0 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

Then $\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}$ satisfies the defining property of ω , so $\tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \in \omega$.

3) Let $\tilde{x} \in \omega$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Show $c\tilde{x} \in \omega$.

To show $c\tilde{x} \in \omega$, we need to show $c\tilde{x}$ satisfies the defining property of ω .

Since $\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \omega$, we

know \tilde{x} satisfies the defining property of ω :

$$7x_1 - 11y_1 + 210z_1 = 0$$

$$\text{But } c \cdot \vec{x} = c \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx_1 \\ cy_1 \\ cz_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Show that this satisfies the defining property of ω

$$7(cx_1) - 11(cy_1) + 210(cz_1)$$

$$= c(7x_1 - 11y_1 + 210z_1)$$

≈ 0

$$= c \cdot 0$$

$$= 0 \quad \checkmark$$

This shows $c \cdot \vec{x} \in \omega$ whenever $\vec{x} \in \omega$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

This shows ω is a subspace
of \mathbb{R}^3 by the subspace test!

Remark : (span) If V is a vector space and $S \subseteq V$ is a collection of vectors, we can define, as for \mathbb{R}^n ,
 $\text{Span}(S) = \text{all linear combinations of vectors } \underline{\text{in } S}$
 $= \text{all sum of scalar multiples of vectors } \underline{\text{in } S}.$

for any $S \subseteq V$ such that S actually contains vectors, $\text{Span}(S)$ is a subspace of V . You may use this fact whenever appropriate.

Two-Step Subspace Test

If V is a vector space, $\omega \subseteq V$ is a subspace precisely when

1) $0_V \in \omega$

2) $\forall x, y \in \omega, c \in \mathbb{R},$

$$cx + y \in \omega.$$

Again, we need ω to actually contain vectors.

Example 5: (upper-triangular matrices)

Let $V = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\omega \in V$

be the collection of all $A = (A_{i,k})$

such that $A_{i,k} = 0$ if $i > k$.

defining property of ω .

These matrices look like

$$n=2 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1,1 & 1,2 \\ a & b \\ 0 & c \\ z,1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$n=3 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1,1 & 1,2 & 1,3 \\ a & b & c \\ 0 & e & f \\ 0 & 0 & g \\ z,1 & z,2 & z,3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{etc.}$$

a, b, c, e, f, g can be any real number.

ω is called the collection of upper-triangular matrices.

Show ω is a subspace of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Use the two-step subspace test.

i) $O_n \in \omega$.

Here, $O_n = O_{n \times n}$, the $n \times n$ zero

matrix, where every entry is zero!

In particular,

$$(O_{n \times n})_{i,n} = 0 \text{ if } i > k.$$

This shows $O_{n \times n}$ satisfies the defining property of ω , so $O_{n \times n} \in \omega$. ✓

2) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{W}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Show

$$cA + B \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Since $A, B \in \mathcal{W}$, they satisfy the defining property of \mathcal{W} :

$$A = (A_{i,k}) \text{ and } A_{i,k} = 0 \text{ if } i > k$$

$$B = (B_{i,k}) \text{ and } B_{i,k} = 0 \text{ if } i > k$$

Now

$$(cA + B)_{i,k} = (cA)_{i,k} + B_{i,k}$$

$$= cA_{i,k} + B_{i,k}$$

$$= c \cdot 0 + 0 \quad \boxed{\text{if } i > k}$$

$$= 0 \quad \checkmark$$

We have shown that $cA + \beta$ satisfies
the defining property of \mathcal{W} , so

$cA + \beta \in \mathcal{W}$. By the two-step
subspace test, \mathcal{W} is a subspace
of $\mathcal{V} = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 6: (not a subspace) let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$

and let $S \subseteq V$ be all vectors $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$xy \geq 0$. Show S is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution: All we need is to come up with either

1) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not in S

or

2) $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in S$ but $\vec{x} + \vec{y} \notin S$

for explicit examples of

\vec{x} and \vec{y} ,

or

3) $\tilde{x} \in S$ but $c\tilde{x}$ is not in S
for an explicit choice of \tilde{x} and
 c .

Try

(1) zero vector: $x = y = 0$

$$0 \cdot 0 = 0 \geq 0, \text{ so}$$

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in S$ won't work

2) We need a choice of \tilde{x} and a
choice of \tilde{y} where $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in S$
but $\tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \notin S$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \in S, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in S$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in S$$

not a counterexample

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \in S, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \in S$$

But $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$1 \cdot (-1) = -1 < 0, \text{ so}$$

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not in S .

So S is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .